A TOTAL

From: Director, Comptroller Division
To: Deputy and Assistant Chief of Bureau

Subj: Prosthetic and Orthopedic Appliances; definition for and the furnishing of

Facl: (1) BIMED-2325 ltr, M9-2/ProsDev, of 14 July 1952 to Chairman, Bulled Policy Board

1. The enclosed letter presents several problems, with recommended solutions, which are believed to be proper matters for consideration by the Policy Board. If you concur, it is suggested that enclosure (1) be presented to the Policy Board for such action as is considered appropriate.

M. K. CURETON Comptroller From: Director, Comptroller Division To: Chairmen, Builed Policy Board

Subj: Prosthetic and Orthopedic Appliances; definition for and the furnishing of

Enel: (1) Bulludget 1tr of 27 March 1952 to the Veterans Administration (2) Bulled-11 1tr M9-Z/Art. Limbs of 7 April 1952 to Section

I. STATISHED OF MEDICINE

The policy of the Bureau as to what constitutes an orthopedic or prosthetic appliance has univered. By may of example, the Bureau files indicate that on 9 June 1950 the U. S. Maval Hospital, Oakland, California was advised, "....if in the opinion of the Commending Officer an orthopedic appliance could not be attached to a remaining part or a stump of the body, and a wheel chair would be the only means of lecenotion available to the patient, and that, no other appliance will serve the purpose of the individual, a wheel chair should then be considered an orthopedic appliance." (The files do not indicate that this authority to consider a wheel chair an orthopedic appliance was ever extended to any other activity.) Over a year later, the U. S. Maval Hospital, St. Albans, New York, addressed a letter to the Bureau containing the question, "Are wheel chairs considered to be orthopedic appliances?" In responding to this letter on 9 August 1951, the Bureau stated, "Under recent rulings by the President the care of almost all of these types of patients is the responsibility of the Veterans Administration. Therefore, it is not permissible for maval hospitals to issue wheel chairs to active duty numbers who are about to be separated from the maval service. Further, wheel chairs are not considered to be orthopedic appliances by this Bureau." (Underscoring supplied.)

From the above it appears that this Bureau had reversed its policy with respect to considering wheel chairs as orthopedic appliances as a result of "recent rulings by the President." However, this would not alter the fact that one hospital only had been granted authority to consider a wheel chair an orthopedic appliance for over a year, and the other naval hospitals were not. Consequently, it is apparent that an overall policy was lacking in this respect. Moreover, while Chapter 24 of the Manual of the Medical Department mentions artificial eyes, eye glasses, supports and braces, hearing aids, and artificial limbs specifically, it also contains the wording "and other orthopedic appliances," which is far from being definitive.

Further, in addition to the lack of a definition of what shall constitute a prosthetic or orthopedic appliance, a firm Buresu policy with respect to the responsibility of the Medical Department for providing specific items to various categories of personnel has never been established. The need for a firm policy in this regard was increased by a recent request of the Secretary that this

BURD-2325 H Jul. 1952 M9-2/ProsDev

Bureau look into current law and regulations pertaining to responsibility for furnishing orthopedic and prosthetic appliances to physically retired personnel with a view to possible improvement of a legislative and administrative situation which now results in ambiguity.

II. DISCUSSION

In a letter of 27 March 1952 from the Bureau of the Budget to the Veterans Administration, enclosure (1), the opinion was expressed that "..... the general hospital per diem reinbursement rate includes the cost of prosthetic appliances furnished by a hospitalizing agency to the beneficiary of another agency receiving impatient care." This opinion was expressed in response to inquiries directed to the Bureau of the Budget by the Veterans Administration, which were occasioned in turn by the rejection of billings by the Army and some billings by the Mavy (due to conflicting interpretations within the Bureau, of Bureau of the Budget opinion) for prosthetic appliances furnished beneficiaries of the Army and Mavy by Veterans Administration Hospitals. This situation prose from Rusk Committee recommendations which resulted in the transfer of certain types of cases to the Veterans Administration prior to these cases becoming Veterans Administration beneficiaries.

At a meeting called by the Veterans Administration on 22 April 1952, attended by representatives of the Army, Mavy, Air Force and Public Health Service, the opinion expressed by the Buréau of the Budget was discussed at considerable length. It was determined that all services would rewrite their regulations concerning this point, and that I July 1952 would be the date of implementation.

However, there are several factors that must be donaidered by this Bureau prior to revising the applicable parts of the Manual of the Medical Department. For example, the tone of the 27 March 1952 letter from the Bureau of the Budget to the Veterans Administration seems to indicate that the terms prosthetic appliance and orthopodic appliance are synonymous. Moreover, it is the position of the Veterans Administration that their beneficiaries should be provided with whatever is deemed essential to their treatment, provided they are entitled to the appliance: This position would require the Bureau to furnish dentures when teeth are extracted resultant to treatment or would require the furnishing of a limb as a result of an apputation. Many nevel installations do not have the function or personnel symbols to furnish the type of service desired, which imediately gives rise to the question of provincement from communical sources and the question of financial support for such physics.

Further, were the Eurom to agree to furnish all such items required by beneficiation of the Veterans Administration beneficiated in noval beneficial, the Newy would find Steelf under current regularious in the position of providing

prosthetic and orthopedic appliances to such personnel while at the same time denying many of them to Fleet Maral Reserve, Fleet Marine Corps Reserve and retired Maral and Marine Borps personnel. Moreover, it is apparent that unless limitations are placed on the furnishing of prosthetic appliances and orthopedic appliances to beneficiaries of other government agencies the cost would affect the patient day cost. The available information in this respect indicates that the furnishing of various erthopedic and prosthetic appliances to beneficiaries of the Veteraus Administration during fiscal year 1971 amounted to \$9,559.10. Reports on file do not reveal whether or not all of these issues were made insident to and as a part of impatient care. However, according to information received from the Maval Mospital, Oakland, California ".....no impatient care was furnished as a part of sind insident to the impance of prosthesis manufactured for Veteraus Administration beneficiaries during fiscal year 1952." But all these appliances been furnished as a part of impatient care, and without reimbursement for the actual cost for manufacturing the appliances, an increase of By cente per patient day for Veteraus Administration beneficiaries and an increase of approximately 1/10 of a cent per day for all patients would be involved. In this connection, all items of prosthesis were furnished by Oakland and Philadel-phis, and the open purchase of such appliances by any neval hospital is not included.

In connection with the furnishing of prosthetic appliances to retired naval personnel, the Berein files centain a letter to The Secretary of the Many dated 7 April 1978, copy attached as enclosure (2). Therein the Bureau went on record that it ".....will process in the conventional memor a change in the Manual of the Medical Department which will authorise naval medical activities to furnish procedure appliances other than spectacles and hearing side to retired members not on active duty either as an impatient or as an outpatient to the extent that medical facilities of the Navy parallel...."

It would been, therefore, that the combination of the recent letter to the Secretary and the Surem of the Budget letter of 27 March 1972 could be used advantageously as a point of departure from which firm policies with respect to the furnishing of prosthetic and orthopodic applicances to beneficiaries of the Armel Services and beneficiaries of other Government agazetes could be drawn, in addition to the development of a definition for the terms prosthetic and orthopodic applicance.

TER INCOME THE STREET

Apprintly that are the methods by which a definition of what constitutes a proclastic or orthopolic appliance and a policy with respect to the respondbility of the Method Reportment for providing such from to serious entegrated of purchased may be obtained. One of these would be through the desirious of impropriate implication. However, this method is time constains and sould possibly result in the applications of limitations upon preport operations and reduction in electricism disposition.

The prince will be to profes the Henry of the Helland Reporterate.

It is buildred that the patient is to be recovered the Henry in it is recovered.

It is buildred that the patient is to be recovered the manner of the second of the second

14 Jul 1952 M9-2/ProsDev

pliances other than spectacles and hearing side to retired manhers not on active duty sither as an impatient or as an outpatient to the extent that medical facilities of the Many permit. It is believed that the intent of the foregoing would be accomplished were the following change in language made in the Manual of the Medical Department:

"24425. PROCUMENT OF SPECIAL TYPES

-

- (3) Orthopedic and Prosthetic Appliances, -
- (a) Artificial eyes, are glasses, supports and braces, bearing aids (including replacement parts and batteries), artificial limbs, and other orthopolic and prosthetic appliances required for persons in the neval service are a proper charge against the appropriation under the cognisence of the Durasm of Medicine and Surgery. When prescribed by the medical officer, procurement of such items may be made under local purchase procedures.
- (b) Orthopedic and prosthetic appliances required for persons in the naval service on active duty are chargeable to the appropriation Medical Care, Novy, when recommended by the medical officer. When personnel on active duty make application to a naval hospital for such application, the education of these personnel to the sick list is not required unless deemed necessary by the commending officer of the naval hospital concerned.
- (c) Orthopedic and prosthetic appliances, except for hearing aids and eye glasses, required for active duty personnel of the Army and Air Force, while patients in naval hospitals, are also a proper charge against the appropriation Medical Care, Mavy. Likewise the Army and Air Force will furnish without charge orthopedic and prosthetic appliances except hearing aids and eye glasses, for persons in the Maval Service while patients in Army and Air Force hospitals.
- (a) present the party and being the property of the property of the party and being compactable to receive accurately retired with party was have not elected or are not eligible to receive unlargedly and prosthetic appliances, [asthiffed other than bearing aids or replacement parts and batteries required therefor, and specially, when [asthiffed eligible to fine property of the pr

MAND-2325 14 Jul 1952 M9-2/ProsDev

prostration with pay are patified elicible to receive orthopedic and prostration symbols except for hearing aids and fif plates spectacles, while directly similar for impatient treatment is at nevel hospitals. On the other and, members of the Navy and Marine Corps retired with pay who were furnished hearing aids while on active duty, and who are not beneficiaries of the Veterans Administration, may be furnished replacement of hearing aid upon the same basis as the initial issue. However, except in unusual circumstances, such replacement shall not be effected within 2 years of the initial furnishing or the last replacement of the appliance and then only with prior bureau approval. Wheel chairs are not considered to be orthopedic appliances, and are not to be issued to patients for their permanent use upon discharge from a naval medical activity.

In the absence of availability of naval medical activities, Retired Maval and Marine Corps personnel and Fleet Maval and Marine Corps Reservists who served in either World War I or II as well as persons coming within purview of Public Law 25, Righty-second Congress, may be advised that they may obtain orthopedic and prosthetic appliances for service-connected disabilities from a Veterans Administration facility after presenting a disability claim (VA Form 526) and having it adjudicated by the Veterans Administration."

With respect to the furnishing of prosthetic and orthopedic appliances to beneficiaries of other Government agencies it is recommended that the Manual of the Medical Department be changed to read: "24-25. PROCUMENTAL OF SPECIAL ITEMS

-

(e) "Orthopedic and prosthetic appliances such as artificial limbs, trusses, crutches, braces, or shoes required for the treatment of beneficiaries of other Government agencies shall be furnished as a part of impatient care when the beneficiary is entitled to the appliance. Such appliances are properly chargeable to the appropriation Medical Care, Mavy. The cost of such material is considered to be included in the per diem reimbursement rate. Similarly the cost of fitting or repair is chargeable to Medical Care, Mavy while the beneficiary is in an impatient status.

Spectacles, hearing aids, wheel chairs and dental prosthesis shall not be furnished to beneficiaries of other government agencies.

Orthopedic and prosthetic appliances, or services for fitting or repairing such appliances for beneficiaries of other government agencies in an outpatient status can be made, when properly authorized by the agency conserved,
on a reimbursable basis when facilities are available. Spectacles, hearing aids,
wheel chairs and dental prosthesis shall not be serviced for beneficiaries of
other government agencies.

(f) Servicing of hearing sids and replacement of parts thereof shall be limited to the manufacturer's guarantee and shall be based upon a personal relationship between the recipient and the manufacturer only.

Jul 1992 # Jul 1992 #9-2/ProsDev

- (g) The furnishing of prosthetic and orthogodic appliances to dependents at Covernment expense is not authorized.
- (h) The term presthetic appliance as used herein pertains to the ertificial replacement part substituted for a missing natural number, such as an eye or log. An orthopodic appliance is a device developed for the purpose of correcting deformation or irregularities present in an existing part of the anatomy.

It is believed that the above revisions and additions to the current regulations exposering in the Manual of the Medical Department may entirely the Veterans Administration and will serve to proclude a substantial adverse effect on the patient day soot.

M. K. Pateron Comptroller

BUNGAU OF THE BUDGET

Washington 25, D. C.

Mar 27 1952

My dear Mr. Grays

This will reply to your letters of December 28, 1951, and February 15, 1952, in which you inquire whether the general hospital per diem reinbursement rate includes the cost of prosthetic appliances furnished by an agency to beneficiaries of another agency hospitalised on a reinbursable basis.

Because of the difficulties inherent in determining the exact cost of eare rendered to an individual patient and the administrative expense which would be involved for separate billings of each case, it is desirable that Federal hospital agencies use a standard per diem rate representing a fair average of costs among them as a basis for reinbursement. Use of this rate is predicated on the assumption that it covers all costs incurred in the treatment of impatients, and that cases involving unusual costs will be counterpalanced by cases in-

Accordingly, the Bureau of the Budget has considered that the general hespital per diem reinbursement rate includes the cost of prosthetic appliances formished by a hespitalizing agency to a beneficiary of another agency receiving impatient care.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) F. J. Librati

Director

Honorable Carl R. Gray, Sr. Administrator of Veterans' Affairs Veterans Administration Heakington 25, B. C.

INCASTRE (1)

From: Chief, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery To: The Secretary of the Navy

- Subj: Orthopodic and prosthetic appliances for retired numbers of the Reval service
- Note (a) Your meno of 3 March 1952 to Childred
- 1. By memorandem of 3 Narch 1952, the Chief, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery was asked to look into the current law and regulations pertaining to responsibility for furnishing orthopedic and proofhetic appliances to physically retired personnel. This research was to be made with a view to possible improvement of a legislative and administrative situation which resulted in certain subiguities experienced by retired members of this respect.
- 2. Section hik(b) of Title IV, the Career Compensation Act, approved October 12, 1949 (37 UEC-204), provided that duties, powers and functions incident to disability systement pay, hospitalization and recommentations, shall be vested "in the Secretary concerned or in the Administrator of Veterane' Affairs under regulations promilgated by the President."
- 3. This section of the Career Compensation Act was implemented in due course by Emerative Order 10122 of 14 April 1950 prescribing briefly that:
- a. Responsibility for hospitalisation of members personally retired by reason of paysion, disability or of former members requiring disability retirement pay who require hospitalisation for obvious dismost is rested in the Administrator of Veterana' Affairs.
- b. Responsibility incident to hospitalization of members permanently retired by reason of physical disability who also are entitled to and do nibit to receive Veterans Administration benefits is vested in the Administration of Veterans' Affairs.
- e. Responsibility incident to hospitalization of perbury personnelly retired for physical disability (or of Former members receiving disability retirement pay) not included in a or b above is rested in the secretary of the Form (Aung. Air Forme).
- d. Responsibility incident to hospitalization and resmandation of pasters of temperary dissipility retired list is vested in the Secretary of the Entry (Army, Air Force).

- *. Responsibility for propert of disability setistment pay is vested in the Secretary of the Marry (Army, Air Force).
- The Back Committee precedures which were approved by the Prinident on 3 Petroncy 1951 different a policy with respect to use of Veterins Administration facilities for certain entegeries of patients including active duty patients. This use centerplated the povement of patients active and impetive for designated types of specialized medical care and also ether patients anticipated as unfit for return to duty. The approval of the Back Committee precedures by the President did not appear to effect the responsibilities stated in Executive Order 10122.
- 5. At the time of the encount of the Cureer Componention Act in 1949 all members of the Escal Service including the reserve components retired with pay were considered eligible by law and regulation for impatient and outpetient medical serve. This eligibility was last recognised in Article 0430, U. S. News Regulations 1940 and was further recognised by both the 1945 and the current versions of the Manual of the Medical Department. These regulations refused certain restrictive considerations. For enable, courgonly medical expenses from sources other than navel hospitals were not considered allowable for inactive duty personnal due to an underequiting during the way with an Appropriations Committee that spectacles would not be purchased for persons not an active duty. Huny years before this hearing aids for persons not an active duty. Huny years before this hearing aids for persons not an active duty were ruled out by legal opinion. Other types of prosthetic appliances were up to be more important to two-current of a patient but at the same time the requirements of the patient in this respect were libely fulfilled prior to retirement or release to inactive duty. As a consequency the Eureau has been confronted with a reluctuous by bufget officials to provide for prosthetic appliances for persons not on active duty. The Chief of the Eureau, however, believes that the implementation of the Current Componention Act of 1940 by Eureautive Order 10122 is more specific than theretofore with respect to the responsibilities of the Secretary of the Envy for medical care of retired numbers (physical disability) who have not elected to receive or who are not eligible for medical care as Veterus Absinistration beneficiaries.
- 6. In view of the foregoing, the Bureau vill process in the conventional names a change in the Manual of the Medical Department which vill authorize neval medical activities to furnish prosthetic appliances other than spectacles and hearing aids to retired numbers not on active duty either as an impatient or as an outpatient to the extent that medical facilities of the Many permit. There will be retained the requirement that the appliance be prescribed by a neval medical officer. This proposed change in the Manual will be reviewed for legality by the Judge Advocate General in accordance with prescribed procedures and will be accompanied by an appropriate presentation by the Bureau

in the preparation and submission of fiscal estimates, Medical Care, Nevy. The Substance of the change will be proposed similar to the following:

"Sh-25. PROCUMENTER OF SPECIAL TEMS

- • •
- including the respect compount of the new and barden Compound of the new and barden Compound of the new and barden Compound of the new alexandration of the new alexandrati
- T. Attention is invited to the fact that Rescutive Order 10122 vected in the Administrator of Veternes' Affeire detice, powers and functions incident to the hospitalization of present retired by remote of physical disability sho require hospitalization for services abronic diagnoses, and for other produce the are aligible for and elect to reactive hospitalization in Veterna Administration function. I understand this has been exerted by the Veterna Administration in some energy set to include proposability for extensions treatment, particularly in the through absorbing the position of the persons of Madinish and Persons Administration benefits. The position of the Persons of Madinish and Persons, is that the detice, powers and functions them are the benefits of the larger placed on the benefit of the larger placed on the benefits of the larger placed on the larger placed

- 8. In connection with this general subject the Bureau finds the following evidence of variation in policy within the Department of Defense:
 - (a) Pursuant to language of AR 40-506 of 9 October 1950, as smended by C1-4, Army medical facilities may authorize prosthetic devices for retired inactive numbers:

"Prosthetic devices may be furnished retired personnel of the Armed Forces, including members of Regular and Reserve components placed on the temporary disability retired list and members of Regular and Reserve components permanently retired for physical disability, except those with chronic disease, only when required in sommection with the treatment of the condition for which hospitalised."

Hearing aids are treated separately:

"Initial issue of hearing side will be made only at Walter Reed Army Mospital to Hegular Army and Air Force retired personnel, and members of Regular and Reserve components permanently retired for physical disability, except those with chronic diseases, who are treated on either an impatient or outpatient basis. Replacements, repairs, batteries, and cords will not be furnished."

Orthopedic footwear and spectacles also are treated separately and retired members are not authorised to receive those services at all.

Prosthetic dental appliances are authorized when adequate facilities are available.

(b) Pursuant to the language of Air Ferce Letter 160-35 supplementing Air Force Regulation 160-75, both dated 17 May 1951, Air Force medical facilities may furnish prosthetic devices for retired inactive members:

"When evailable facilities are adequate."

NOTE: This authority includes prosthetic dental appliances, hearing aids, orthopolic footwar and spectacles.

(a) Beretefore VA Regulation 6060(R)(3) provided:

"(A) Outpotient treatment, medical or destal, including necessary medicines, presthetic appliances, and other supplies, may be rendered to the following applicants under the conditions stated: (September 24, 1945)

...

- "(3) (Retired) persons who have elected, under Public Law 314, 78th Congress, to receive disability compensation from the VA for a service-connected disease or injury and who are in meet of treatment for said service-connected compensable disease or injury. (Except that such persons who served during the Spanish-American War, Philippine Insurrection, or Bower Rebellion may be furnished treatment when in need thereof not only for the compensable condition but for any disease or injury under the previsions of subdivision (8) of this sub-paragraph. (A.D. 872))"
- (b) The Bureau of Medicine and Surgery understands that by VA.THX dated 18 March 1952 VA activities were advised:

"Pending amendment of VA Regulation 6060(a)(3) The following will govern upon application outpatient treatment, medical or dental, medicines, prosthetic appliances or other supplies may be rendered to retired persons who have elected under Public Law 315, 76th Congress, to receive distribility compensation from the VA for service-connected disease or injury such election having been filed with the VA when they are in meed of treatment for service-connected disease or injury. If the retired person served only in peacetime outpatient treatment may not be furnished until sward of compensation by the VA pursuant to his election. Upon every of compensation such retired person will be entitled to treatment for such service-connected disease or injury."

- (c) VA Regulation 6116 as recently manufed provides:
 - "(A) (1) Pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 305, 70th Congress, approved May 23, 1944, an artificial link or other appliance will be suggisted or regained, when netically determined necessary, for any afficient or enlisted man retired from active military or navel service who had lost a link or the use thereof through injury or disease incurred or sentracted in line of daty in the military or navel service at any time. (Neverbor 30, 1951)
 - (2) No commutation in lies of such artifical limb or other appliance will be payable on or after May 23, 1944. (December 10, 1945)
 - (3) 'Other appliance' will be taken to mean any appliance which is medically determined measuremy to replace, support, or substitute for, a missing limb, or an austomical part thereof such as a hand or a foot; or to support a limb or an austomical part thereof so deformed or weakened as to constitute loss of use. The term will include stung sooks, braces, orthogodic shoot, wheel chairs, exutches, and such other

appliances as approved by the Chief Medical Director or his designate.

- (4) 'Look the use thereof' will be taken to ness the loss of use of a link or an ematemical part thereof so as to proclude nessel use of the affected part without the mid of an appliance. Whether or not a retired persons applying for benefits under this regulation has lost the normal use of affinb or an anatomical part thereof is a parter for determination by the emmining physicism.
- (5) Such artificial limbs or other appliances, or require thereto, will be supplied at field stations in accordance with the general procedure pertaining to the familiating of such items for treatment of a service-connected disability. (January 25, 1972)
- (B) Persons defined in substructive (A)(1) of this paragraph who are furnished the artificial link or other appliance will be additionally testitled to fitting and training in the use thorost (Section 10%, Title I, Public Lew 365, 78th Congress), (Becamber 10, 1985)
- 10. The Purpose of Medicine and Surgery essendary that the policy which will be established by the ultimate approval of the changes proposed in the Hannil of the Medical Department will represent a slightly more liberal attitude to-part retired members. It will more nearly presents the provision exhibited in the cited Air Force regulation. Above all, it will evold feture situations is similar to that experienced by Sergeont Experiences. In the meaning, the liberalization of VA Regulations represented by the cited TW message will also took to reduce requests to mind medical activities having inedequate facilities for the purpose by retired purpose who may also be eligible for prosthetic devices.

L. L. PRO